

(12) UK Patent Application (19) GB (11) 2 283 496 (13) A

(43) Date of Printing by UK Office 10.05.1995

(21) Application No 9424328.4

(22) Date of Filing 27.05.1993

(30) Priority Data

(31) 9212634 (32) 15.06.1992 (33) GB

(86) International Application Data

PCT/GB93/01094 En 27.05.1993

(87) International Publication Data

WO93/25719 En 23.12.1993

(71) Applicant(s)

The Secretary of State for Defence
Defence Research Agency, FARNBOROUGH, Hants,
GU14 7TU, United Kingdom

(72) Inventor(s)

Roger Moreton
Christopher John Peel
Alan John Shakesheff

(74) continued overleaf

(51) INT CL⁶

C22C 21/12

(52) UK CL (Edition N)

C7A AB249 AB25X AB25Y AB250 AB289 AB309 AB319
AB32Y AB325 AB327 AB329 AB33X AB349 AB369
AB37Y AB383 AB385 AB387 AB389 AB399 AB419
AB42Y AB420 AB422 AB424 AB425 AB427 AB429
AB43X AB431 AB433 AB435 AB437 AB439 AB459
AB489 AB519 AB539 AB549 AB55Y AB557 AB558
AB559 AB610 AB613 AB616 AB619 AB62X AB620
AB621 AB624 AB627 AB630 AB635 AB66X AB66Y
AB661 AB663 AB664 AB665 AB667 AB669 AB670
AB672 AB675 AB682 AB684 AB686 AB688 AB70X
AB702 A71Y

(56) Documents Cited by ISA

CHEMICAL ABS.vol.107 no.14, 5.10.1987 TAKE
BAYASHI, Y. et al Aluminum alloy composites pge 256
col. 1 CHEMICAL ABS. vol.88 no.14, 3.4.1978 VEDA, O.
et al. Aluminu m master alloy page 256, column 2

(58) Field of Search by ISA

INT CL⁵ C22C 21/00

(54) Metal matrix composite

(57) A magnesium-free aluminium alloy suitable for use as the matrix alloy in a metal matrix composite material is disclosed which overcomes the drawback of the rapid natural ageing response exhibited by prior art alloys. This facilitates greater flexibility in manufacturing with metal matrix composites because of the improvement in fabricability. The alloy composite comprises 11 to 50 % by weight of reinforcing material embedded in a matrix alloy having the following composition in proportions by weight: copper 4 - 6 %, aluminium the balance, save for incidental impurities, and further comprising one of the grain refining additives from the group comprising zirconium, manganese or chromium in an amount up to 0.5 % by weight.

GB 2 283 496 A

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service

R W Beckham

Intellectual Property Department,

Defence Research Agency, R69 Building,

DRA Farnborough, FARNBOROUGH, Hants,

GU14 6TD, United Kingdom



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 : C22C 21/12	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/25719 (43) International Publication Date: 23 December 1993 (23.12.93)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB93/01094 (22) International Filing Date: 27 May 1993 (27.05.93) (30) Priority data: 9212634.1 15 June 1992 (15.06.92) GB (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR DEFENCE IN HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND [GB/GB]; Defence Research Agency, Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 6TD (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) : MORETON, Roger [GB/GB]; Tresayes, Albara Road, Church Crookham, Fleet, Hants. GU13 0JZ (GB). PEEL, Christopher, John [GB/GB]; 26 Alton Road, Fleet, Hants. GU13 9HN (GB). SHAKESHEFF, Alan, John [GB/GB]; 4 Ash Lodge Drive, Ash, Aldershot, Hants. GU12 6LP (GB).		(74) Agent: BECKHAM, Robert, William; Intellectual Property Department, Defence Research Agency, Building R69, DRA Farnborough, Farnborough, Hants. GU14 6TD (GB). (81) Designated States: CA, GB, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: METAL MATRIX COMPOSITE (57) Abstract A magnesium-free aluminium alloy suitable for use as the matrix alloy in a metal matrix composite material is disclosed which overcomes the drawback of the rapid natural ageing response exhibited by prior art alloys. This facilitates greater flexibility in manufacturing with metal matrix composites because of the improvement in fabricability. The alloy composite comprises 1 to 50 % by weight of reinforcing material embedded in a matrix alloy having the following composition in proportions by weight: copper 4 - 6 %, aluminium the balance, save for incidental impurities, and further comprising one of the grain refining additives from the group comprising zirconium, manganese or chromium in an amount up to 0.5 % by weight.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TC	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

METAL MATRIX COMPOSITE

The present invention relates to metal matrix composite materials and in particular to improvements in aluminium matrix alloys for such materials

5 Metal matrix composite materials comprising aluminium-copper-magnesium alloys which contain reinforcements of particulate silicon carbide are currently attracting a great deal of interest amongst aerospace manufacturers. Such materials have the potential to become widely adopted in applications where increased strength and stiffness are required in comparison to conventional aluminium alloys.

10 However, one of the drawbacks of metal matrix composite materials is that a sufficient quantity of the reinforcing material must be incorporated to achieve significant weight savings or improvements in performance. Addition on this scale is apt to have an adverse effect on certain properties, notably toughness and ductility. Moreover,
15 known composite materials of this type often exhibit a rapid natural ageing response following solution heat treatment, with the result that difficulties are encountered when post-form stretching techniques are used to make extruded product forms or the like.

It is therefore an object of this invention to improve the
20 fabricability of metal matrix composite materials.

We have now discovered that the removal of magnesium from the matrix alloy of such materials leads to a surprising but significant improvement in fabricability. Metal matrix composites which use a magnesium-free matrix alloy are much easier to process and show a
25 minimal natural ageing response over prolonged periods.

According to the invention there is provided a metal matrix composite material comprising from 1 to 50% by weight of reinforcing material embedded in an alloy matrix, characterised in that the alloy matrix has the following composition in proportions by weight:

30

copper	2 - 6%
aluminium	balance, save for incidental impurities,

wherein the alloy matrix further comprises one of the grain refining additives from the group comprising zirconium, manganese or chromium in an amount up to 0.5% by weight.

- 5 The matrix alloy preferably contains from 4 - 6% by weight of copper. Also, the proportion of grain refining additive is preferably from 0.05 to 0.2% by weight.

In a particularly preferred form, the weight proportion of the reinforcing material is from 10 to 30%, more preferably from 15 to 25%
10 and most especially from 18 to 22%. Suitable materials for the reinforcement include silicon carbide, alumina, boron, graphite, diamond and boron carbide. These may take the form particles, whiskers, short fibres or continuous fibres, depending upon the particular end use for which the composite material is intended.

- 15 The invention will now be described by way of example with reference to the drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a graph showing the effect of matrix alloy composition and natural ageing on the tensile properties of Al/Cu/Mg
20 composites having 20% by weight of particulate SiC reinforcement;

Figure 2 is a graph showing the effect of natural ageing on the tensile properties of a metal matrix composite according to the invention comprising an Al-4.35%Cu matrix containing 20%
25 by weight of particulate SiC reinforcement.

Figure 3 is a graph showing the effect of matrix alloy composition and artificial ageing at 150°C on the tensile properties of composite materials corresponding to those used in Figure 1, and

30 Figure 4 is a graph showing the effect of artificial ageing at 150°C in metal matrix composites containing 20% by weight of particulate SiC reinforcement in matrix alloys according to the invention.

35 The test samples used to obtain the experimental results shown in these graphs were produced from material which had been manufactured by a powder metallurgy route to produce billets 125mm long and 55mm in

diameter. The billets had a silicon carbide content of 20% by weight, a particulate silicon carbide being used with a mean particle size of 3 μ m.

The billets were vacuum degassed for 1 hour at temperatures
5 between 450 and 530°C, followed by hot isostatic pressing within the same temperature range. A suitable pressure range for the hot isostatic pressing stage is from 100 to 250 MPa. The billets used here were pressed at 250 MPa and then forged and hot rolled at 475°C to a final sheet thickness of 2mm.

10 Solution heat treatment was carried out for 40 minutes at 505°C in an air circulating furnace, followed by cold water quenching. Those specimens which were artificially aged were subjected to heat treatment at 150°C for times up to 1650 hours.

The presence of magnesium in the matrix alloy had a marked affect
15 on the forging behaviour of billets which had been degassed and hot isostatically pressed at the highest temperature, i.e. 530°C. These specimens exhibited extensive cracking during forging. The forging behaviour could be improved by reducing the temperatures at which degassing and hot isostatic pressing were carried out, best results
20 being obtained in the range 475 to 500°C. Decreasing the temperature still further to 450°C resulted in slight edge cracking, indicating that the lower temperature limit had been reached for successful forging

During hot rolling, severe edge cracking and surface crazing
25 occurred in magnesium-containing sheet which had been degassed and hot isostatically pressed at 530°C, but specimens which had been processed in the temperature range 475 to 500°C showed improved surface finish and less severe edge cracks.

By contrast, the magnesium free billets, such as the reinforced
30 Al-4.35% Cu sample whose behaviour is shown in Figures 2 and 4, forged without cracking after degassing and hot isostatically pressing at 530°C. Moreover, an improved surface finish with only minor edge cracks was obtained after hot rolling.

The effect of copper and magnesium content on the tensile
35 properties of reinforced Al/Cu/Mg sheet after solution heat treatment, cold water quenching and natural ageing can be seen with reference to Figure 1. There was no significant difference between the use of

manganese or zirconium as a grain refiner on the tensile properties of the alloy variants studied. Peak aged conditions for the alloys containing nominally 2% and 4% by weight of copper were reached after natural ageing times in excess of 120 hours.

5 The specimens with reduced copper and magnesium content (Al-2Cu-1Mg-0.6Mn and Al-2Cu-1Mg-0.12Zr) exhibited values of 0.2% proof stress and tensile strength which were respectively around 65 MPa and 110 MPa lower than the values obtained for nominal 4% copper/1.5% magnesium samples in the peak aged condition. At times up to 24 hours after
10 solution heat treatment, these low additive specimens showed slightly higher ductilities (11 to 14%) than the specimens with conventional proportions of copper and magnesium. This improvement in ductility fell to 8 to 11% after 1600 hours.

 In comparison, the reinforced binary alloy specimen Al-4.35%Cu
15 showed little or no change in 0.2% proof stress or tensile strength during natural ageing for times up to 1500 hours, as seen in Figure 2. The effect of copper and magnesium content on the tensile properties of corresponding Al/Cu/Mg sheets artificially aged at 150°C is shown in Figure 3. The 0.2% proof stresses of all the alloy variants
20 studied were more sensitive to ageing than the tensile strengths, reaching a plateau after 120 hours. Higher copper content specimens showed an 80 MPa greater tensile strength in the peak aged condition, but this differential was reduced after ageing for 1600 hours.

 The artificial ageing behaviour of reinforced binary Al/Cu
25 specimens is illustrated with reference to Figure 4. At short ageing times (up to 1 hour) it is clear that the 0.2% proof stresses and tensile strengths are relatively low compared to specimens containing magnesium. The peak aged condition is reached after 24 to 48 hours. Ductilities varied in inverse proportion to the tensile properties,
30 reaching their lowest values in the peak aged condition.

 It is pointed out here that composite specimens containing binary Al/Cu matrix alloys have been used here merely for illustrative purposes. The ageing behaviour of such alloys results in the formation of a relatively coarse grain structure which inevitably
35 leads to slightly depressed tensile properties. Higher values for tensile strength and 0.2% proof stress are obtained in matrix alloys containing a grain refining additive.

Although the invention has been particularly described with reference to composite materials containing 20% by weight of particulate silicon carbide reinforcement, no special significance attaches to this choice of material, nor its form, nor to the proportions in which it has been used. Other manifestations of the invention falling within the scope of the claims which follow will be apparent to persons skilled in the art.

CLAIMS

1. A metal matrix composite material comprising from 1 to 50% by weight of reinforcing material embedded in an alloy matrix, characterised in that the alloy matrix has following composition in proportions by weight:

copper	2 - 6%
aluminium	balance, save for incidental impurities.

wherein the alloy matrix further comprises one of the grain refining additives from the group comprising zirconium, manganese or chromium in an amount up to 0.5% by weight.

2. A metal matrix composite material as claimed in claim 1 wherein the matrix alloy contains from 4 - 6% by weight of copper.

3. A metal matrix composite material as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the proportion of grain refining additive is from 0.05 to 0.2% by weight.

4. A metal matrix composite material as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the weight proportion of the reinforcing material is from 10 to 30%.


5. A metal matrix composite material as claimed in claim 4 wherein the weight proportion of the reinforcing material is from 15 to 25%.

6. A metal matrix composite material as claimed in claim 5 wherein the weight proportion of the reinforcing material is from 18 to 22%.

7. A metal matrix composite material as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the reinforcing material is selected from the group comprising silicon carbide, alumina, boron, graphite, diamond and boron carbide.

8. A metal matrix composite material as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the reinforcing material is present in the form of particles, whiskers, short fibres or continuous fibres.


Fig. 1.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

2/4


Fig. 2.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

3/4


Fig. 3.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

4/4

Fig. 4.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/GB 93/01094

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ¹ According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC IPC ⁵ : C 22 C 21/12						
II. FIELDS SEARCHED <div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Minimum Documentation Searched ²</div> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Classification System</td> <td style="width: 50%; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Classification Symbols</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">IPC⁵</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">C 22 C 21/00</td> </tr> </table> <div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched ³</div>			Classification System	Classification Symbols	IPC ⁵	C 22 C 21/00
Classification System	Classification Symbols					
IPC ⁵	C 22 C 21/00					
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ⁴						
Category ⁵	Citation of Document, ⁶ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ⁷	Relevant to Claim No. ⁸				
A	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 107, no. 14, issued 1987, October 5 (Columbus, Ohio, U.S.A.), TAKE BAYASHI, Y. et al. "Aluminum alloy composites", page 256, column 1, the abstract no. 119669t, & Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 62 89 832 (87 89 832). --	1, 2, 7, 8				
A	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 88, no. 14, issued 1978, April 3 (Columbus, Ohio, U.S.A.), VEDA, O. et al. "Aluminum master alloy containing ti- tanium, boron and sodium", page 256, column 2, the abstract no. 93643s, & Japan. Kokai 77 133 011. ----	1, 7, 8				
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>⁹ Special categories of cited documents:</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"Δ" document member of the same patent family</p> </div> </div>						
IV. CERTIFICATION						
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">19 July 1993</div>		Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">09.09.93</div>				
International Searching Authority <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE</div>		Signature of Authorized Officer <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">BRUS e.h.</div>				